IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Luis Acosta, on behalf of himself and all other similarly situated persons, known and unknown, Plaintiffs, v.
El Ranchito Food, Inc., and Inaam Ayyash,

individually, Defendants.

1:15-cv-8942 Judge: Hon. Sara L. Ellis

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO EXTEND DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE DATE AND ENFORCEMENT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Plaintiff Luis Acosta, through counsel, submits his Motion to Extend Dismissal with Prejudice Date and Enforcement of Settlement Agreement in support of this motion, states:

- On April 12, 2016, the court entered an Order of Approval of Settlement and Order of Dismissal
 of Plaintiff's Claims [Dkt #21]. The Order stated that Plaintiff's claims were dismissed without
 prejudice, but would become with prejudice on June 30, 2016, absent a motion to enforce the
 Parties' settlement.
- 2. On July 5, 2016, August 9, 2016, and again on October 4, 2016, the court extended that time period as the parties were working together in trying to resolve the settlement issues.
- 3. At this time, the terms of the Confidential Settlement Agreement and General Release have not been met in that all payments have not been paid by the Defendants.
- 4. Defendants claim that they inadvertently sent Plaintiffs check outside of the settlement agreement, and that those checks were cashed by Plaintiff. Thereafter, Defendants unilaterally subtracted those check amounts from the settlement amount per the Agreement.
- Plaintiff denies ever receiving the checks Defendants allegedly sent him and therefore deny cashing them. Therefore, Defendants have not paid the full settlement amount per the Agreement to Plaintiff.
- 6. More specifically, the checks were never endorsed by Plaintiff. The checks in question show that

Case: 1:15-cv-08942 Document #: 31 Filed: 10/28/16 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:67

they were endorsed by Daily Meat Supply Inc and cashed at South Central Bank. (See attached

checks as Exhibit A).

7. Defendants subpoenaed the bank where the checks at issue were cashed. The response confirms

that Plaintiff did not have any type relationship with the bank or the company who endorsed the

checks (See attached Letter from the Bank as Exhibit B).

8. In addition, the checks in question were mailed to 12727 Division St., Blue Island, IL 60406 after

November 2015. Plaintiff did not live at that address after November 2015. He had moved to 6952

S. Fairfield, Chicago, IL 60629. (See attached Proof of Address as Exhibit C).

9. Further, Plaintiff had received four different checks from the Department of Labor that he did NOT

cash. It doesn't make sense that he would later choose to cash other different checks from the

Department of Labor he allegedly received.

10. Defendants have all the above information, but still refuse to pay the amount agreed upon in the

parties' settlement agreement.

11. As such, Plaintiff requests that the Court order Defendants to pay the full settlement amount

pursuant to the parties' Agreement.

12. In addition, Plaintiff's claims are currently to be converted to dismissal with prejudice on October

31, 2016. Plaintiff requests that the Court continue that date for another 30 days or to November

30, 2016.

13. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court determine that Plaintiff is

owed his full settlement amount in the parties Agreement; and continue the dismissal with

prejudice date to November 30, 2016, for the parties to complete and enforce all terms of the

Agreement.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Susan Best

Susan Best

6232 N. Pulaski, Suite 200

Chicago, IL 60646

2